

# Get real!

Or: Some provocations on research

---

Juan Alonso, Phillipe Bonnet, Holger Karl



Telecommunication Networks Group  
Technische Universität Berlin

# Applications

- Get real about application requirements and assumptions for research
  - No more Poisson models, please
  - Stop the hype about millions of nodes
- Stop whining about application-specific but do generic research
- Check your assumptions
  - Have you thrown 1000 nodes from an aircraft?
- Characterize principle tradeoffs, don't optimize 5 %
  
- ***Res non verba***

# What is the silver-bullet definition for WSN?

- Mobility of nodes
  - Yes, no, with mobilizers, ...
- Energy
  - Scarce, plentiful
- Deployment
  - Random, planned, ...
- Node resources
  - Computational power, memory, ...
- Communication principle
  - Radio of various ranges, other mediums
- Number of nodes
  - Three to thousands to tens of millions
- Accuracy/precision of sensors
- Actuators?
- ...?????

- What are the right dimensions,
- the right attributes for each dimension, right combinations?
- Which combinations map to **real** applications?

Does it matter?  
How does it influence research?

# Testbeds?

---

- What are the relevant testbeds to cover design space?
- Is it really useful to have multiple node designs with essentially the same characteristics?
- How many people are building their own node designs?
  - How do they differ?
  - Did you even talk to each other beforehand?

- Buchmann: Better communication among this group is necessary
- Mattern: What to do to move work forward, what to ensure that we do right thing, have fun?
  - Keep a European workshop
  - Better collaboration, e.g., compatible/portable operating system
    - Careful about being too quick
    - Different feature set to existing paradigms necessary
  - Small-scale community building – how?
  - Industrial community building/evangelization/procelitization
  - Meetings with interested users, not only industrial – how? Look for strength in European application scenarios
  - Make an effort to involve PhD students/younger people; sooner than later
  - Influence funding agencies; raise awareness/necessity
  - Fairs, Cebit, etc.
  - Put together complementary strengths
- Ian/Thomas: Dont be afraid of American research; justify being distinct by adding value

---

- Hype

- Gap between
- Zillions of sensor nodes deployed
- Largest actual network: 800 nodes

- Promise

- Great duck island example
- Great idea
- Great disappointment in practice

- Heavy knapsacks

- Our researcher's background weighs heavily upon us
- Background-centered research vs. WSN-centered research?
  - What does the later one mean in the first place?

# Get real about requirements & scenarios

- Who REALLY needs microscopically small devices?
- Batteries are not a serious cost factor – just use bigger ones
- Don't build sophisticated protocols for something that happens once every five years
- Don't ignore real-life requirements like delay
  
- WSN are not just tiny-nodes-dropped-from-aircraft
  - Samsung experiments with cell phones outfitted with sensors of various ilks – will turn cellular networks into sensor networks

# Some whining about application-specific...

- ... or actually do something about it!
  - If you build a general-purpose architecture, run it through its paces
  - Use off-the-shelf hardware & software as comparison case
  - PROVE that application-specific will require something new – put TCP/IP on the nodes and TRY IT before you claim it will not work
- Proof that cost of standardization are in fact prohibitive
- Consider FINANCIAL COST and budget tradeoffs
  - If system becomes too complicated, larger batteries will simply be cheaper and thus better



# Stop making assumptions without testing

---

- Who has ever thrown thousands of nodes from an aircraft?
  - Are they really uniformly distributed?
- Don't use a unit-disk craft in simulation and expect any relationship with reality
- Don't use Poisson excitation models for earthquakes
  
- ***Res non verba***

# Stop doing research....

- ... unless it answers fundamental questions
  - Principal behavior of WSN in many circumstances still not understood
  - But people fiddle around with 2% improvements at places which are far remote from reality anyway
  - ***Don't forget Galileo***
- If the only tool you have is multi-hopping, every problem looks like a sensor network
  - Sadly, you don't get PhDs out of application-specific work
  - So people keep on fuddling with fancy multi-hop stuff (which is often completely useless)